

Frustrated by Diversification? Read on...



Rod Smyth
CHIEF INVESTMENT STRATEGIST

Emotionally, US investors are now getting fed up with diversification, an idea that in our view has actually worked well over time. We believe they are doing so at, or close to, the wrong time.

At RiverFront, we seek to improve the basic principle of diversification by overweighting investments that our Price Matters® methodology indicates have a better long-term risk/reward outlook and underweighting those for which we believe the outlook is poor.

Due to the outperformance by US assets over the last seven years, we believe the case for diversification today is very strong. The opposite of diversification is concentration: in a stock, in a sector, in a style, in an asset class or a country. For a US-based investor, international diversification lowered returns in 2011, 2013, and 2014. In 2015, developed international markets performed better than the US in local currency terms, but not when their falling currencies were taken into account. Emotionally, some US investors are now getting fed up with diversification, an idea that in our view has actually worked well over time (see table below). We believe they are doing so at, or close to, the wrong time.

**Cumulative returns for four major asset classes:
Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

2000-2007 ¹	2000-2008 ²	2009-2016 ³	2000-2016 ⁴
MSCI ACWI ex US 69.61%	Fixed Income Inv. Grade 74.40%	S&P 1500 170.97%	High Yield 194.55%
Fixed Income Inv. Grade 65.72%	High Yield 19.35%	High Yield 146.78%	Hypothetical Global Diversified Portfolio 139.29%
High Yield 62.14%	Hypothetical Global Diversified Portfolio 17.65%	Hypothetical Global Diversified Portfolio 103.39%	Fixed Income Inv. Grade 138.85%
Hypothetical Global Diversified Portfolio 58.67%	MSCI ACWI ex US -7.60%	MSCI ACWI ex US 69.45%	S&P 1500 108.80%
S&P 1500 21.78%	S&P 1500 -22.94%	Fixed Income Inv. Grade 36.95%	MSCI ACWI ex US 56.56%

Source: Morningstar, RiverFront Investment Group. 1 Data from 1/1/00-12/31/07; 2 1/1/00-12/31/08; 3 1/1/09-4/30/16; 4 1/1/00-4/30/16. See below for index definitions and important disclosure information.

The table above is provided solely as an illustration of diversification over a given time period and is in no way indicative of RiverFront's portfolio performance or positioning at any time. Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss. The table shows four broad asset classes commonly used in globally diversified portfolios, and the given time periods are used for illustrative purposes only. It is important to note that the cumulative returns for each asset class given in the chart below are index returns only; as such, no investor would actually experience these cumulative returns over the same time period. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. The red box entitled, "Hypothetical Global Diversified Portfolio" is a calculation of the cumulative index returns for each of the four asset classes, equally weighted over the given time period and rebalanced annually. It is provided for illustrative purposes only and should not be taken as a recommendation. No investor would experience these returns, as there are fees, taxes, expenses, and other costs associated with any actual portfolio. Within RiverFront portfolios, strategies seeking higher returns generally have a greater allocation to equities. These strategies also carry higher risks and are subject to a greater degree of market volatility.

The time periods above are deliberately selected to show two clear cycles with very different outcomes. **Between 2000 and 2007, US**

stocks were the worst of the four asset classes selected; since 2009, they have been the best performer. We also want to show the impact of 2008. The red box entitled, "Hypothetical Global Diversified Portfolio" is a calculation of the cumulative index returns for each of the four asset classes, equally weighted over the given time period and rebalanced annually. It is provided for illustrative purposes only and should not be taken as a recommendation.

To keep it simple, we show four asset classes: US stocks (large, mid and small cap) represented by the S&P 1500; international stocks, including both developed and emerging, represented by the MSCI ACWI ex US; investment grade bonds, represented by the Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index; and high yield bonds, represented by the BofA Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index. Equal weights have been given to each asset class in the time periods shown.

Over the total timeframe, bonds emerge as the best performer which might cause readers to say, why not just buy bonds; however, we believe that yield matters for future returns. Investment grade bond yields were over 7% in 2000, compared to around 3% today, which we interpret as foreshadowing lower return prospects in the future. (For high yield bonds, the BofA Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index yielded 11% in 2000, versus 7.7% today). Additionally, mutual fund flows show that investors were pulling money out of bonds in 2000, presumably because stock returns had been so good in the 1990s. International stocks and diversification generally worked well from 2000 - 2007, but as shown in the chart above, 2008 reduced the cumulative returns of both stocks and high yield bonds. **In our opinion, the above table demonstrates the benefits of a diversified portfolio and the danger of moving more money into the asset class that has just had a multi-year period of outperforming.** We caution investors to be wary of emotions that would have them abandon a well-thought-out strategy to chase a "winner."

If you were a non-US investor investing in the four asset classes represented by indices in the above chart, your experience of diversification would have been the other way around. In the first period, you would likely have been frustrated that you didn't have more money in your local markets, but since 2008 you would likely be glad if you had not abandoned the strategy. A good example of this can be found in Brazil, an emerging markets country that is part of the MSCI ACWI ex US. Having personally spent time in Brazil between 2003 and 2007, I observed investors there experiencing significant returns, especially later in the period as the memories of the 1990's crisis were fading. The Brazilian market had outperformed the US every year from 2003 to 2007. Why diversify when returns at home were so good? Investors who remembered history and stuck to their discipline would have been rewarded: since 2010 the MSCI Brazil Index has lost almost all of its relative gains from those years. While this is an extreme example, the principle is the same. **When diversification is not working, and an investor's home base is performing well, the psychological pull to abandon a diversified strategy can be overwhelming. We believe investors are better served over time to avoid this pull.** More recent examples of this idea include buying technology stocks in the late 1990s and then real estate in the mid-2000s, many within a year or two of the peak, and then withdrawing record amounts from stock funds in 2008 and 2009.

In our view, diversification is a form of humility. The future is uncertain, which is why we diversify. At RiverFront, we seek to improve the basic principle of diversification by overweighting investments that our Price Matters® methodology indicates have a better long-term risk/reward outlook and underweighting those for which we believe the outlook is poor. Currently, we believe there is a positive long-term risk/reward potential for stocks, and especially international, over the next five to seven years.

Diversification is certainly not an assurance of higher returns or a protection from losses, and it is not a panacea. In our view, it is a belief that spreading your investments across different countries and asset classes is a helpful approach to reducing risk and smoothing returns. We remain committed to diversification and to increasing weightings to those asset classes that have been underperformers, so long as we think they are attractively priced.

In conclusion: Timing is hard, and momentum can persist longer than investor emotions can tolerate. That said, in our experience, abandoning a previously determined, diversified investment plan after it has underperformed a single asset class could be a decision that is later regretted.

Important Disclosure Information

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Technical analysis is based on the study of historical price movements and past trend patterns. There are no assurances that movements or trends can or will be duplicated in the future.

Stocks represent partial ownership of a corporation. If the corporation does well, its value increases, and investors share in the appreciation. However, if it goes bankrupt, or performs poorly, investors can lose their entire initial investment (i.e., the stock price can go to zero). Bonds represent a loan made by an investor to a corporation or government. As such, the investor gets a guaranteed interest rate for a specific period of time and expects to get their original investment back at the end of that time period, along with the interest earned. Investment risk is repayment of the principal (amount invested). In the event of a bankruptcy or other corporate disruption, bonds are senior to stocks. Investors should be aware of these differences prior to investing.

Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss.

In a rising interest rate environment, the value of fixed-income securities generally declines.

High-yield securities (including junk bonds) are subject to greater risk of loss of principal and interest, including default risk, than higher-rated securities.

Investments in international and emerging markets securities include exposure to risks such as currency fluctuations, foreign taxes and regulations, and the potential for illiquid markets and political instability.

RiverFront Investment Group, LLC, is an investment advisor registered with the Securities Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. The company manages a variety of portfolios utilizing stocks, bonds, and exchange-traded funds (ETFs). Opinions expressed are current as of the date shown and are subject to change. They are not intended as investment recommendations.

Important Disclosure Information (continued)...

Index Definitions

Individual investors cannot directly purchase an index.

MSCI All Country World Index ex US (ACWI ex US) captures large and mid cap representation across 22 of 23 developed markets (excluding the US) and 23 emerging markets countries.

Standard & Poor's 1500 Index is a stock market index of US stocks which combines the S&P 500, S&P MidCap 400, and S&P SmallCap 600 indices to cover approximately 90% of the US market capitalization.

Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index is a broad base index often used to represent investment grade bonds being traded in the US.

BofA Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index tracks the performance of the US dollar-denominated below investment grade corporate debt publicly issued in the US domestic market. It is commonly used as a benchmark index for high-yield corporate bonds.

Copyright ©2016 RiverFront Investment Group. All Rights Reserved.